

Fuzzy Description Logic Programs under the Answer Set Semantics for the Semantic Web

Thomas Lukasiewicz

Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica
Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italy

Institut für Informationssysteme
Technische Universität Wien, Austria

Motivation

Ingredients:

- Expressive description logics behind OWL Lite and OWL DL (*SHIF(D)* resp. *SHOIN(D)*).
- Rule-based formalism (normal logic programs under the answer set semantics).
- Fuzzy truth functions (for conjunction and negation).

Motivation:

- Fuzzy query language for multimedia databases, containing images and videos (such as Google's YouTube), along the lines of "R. Fagin. Fuzzy queries in multimedia database systems. In *Proceedings PODS-1998*".
- Expressing vague terms in natural language interfaces to the Web / Semantic Web.

Outline

- 1 $SHIF(D) / SHOIN(D)$
- 2 Fuzzy $SHIF(D) / SHOIN(D)$
- 3 Fuzzy Description Logic Programs
- 4 Fixpoint Semantics
- 5 Summary and Outlook

$PC \sqcup Camera \sqsubseteq Electronics$; $PC \sqcap Camera \sqsubseteq \perp$;
 $Book \sqcup Electronics \sqsubseteq Product$; $Book \sqcap Electronics \sqsubseteq \perp$;
 $Textbook \sqsubseteq Book$;
 $Product \sqsubseteq \geq 1 \text{ related}$;
 $\geq 1 \text{ related} \sqcup \geq 1 \text{ related}^- \sqsubseteq Product$;
 $Textbook(tb_ai)$; $Textbook(tb_lp)$;
 $PC(pc_ibm)$; $PC(pc_hp)$;
 $related(tb_ai, tb_lp)$; $related(pc_ibm, pc_hp)$;
 $provides(ibm, pc_ibm)$; $provides(hp, pc_hp)$.

Finite set of **truth values** $TV = \{\frac{0}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n}{n}\}$ with $n \geq 1$.

A **fuzzy atomic concept assertion** has the form $C(a) \geq v$, where $C \in \mathbf{A}$, $a \in \mathbf{I}$, and $v \in TV$. A **fuzzy abstract** (resp., **datatype**) **role assertion** has the form $R(a, b) \geq v$ (resp., $U(a, s) \geq v$), where $R \in \mathbf{R}_A$ (resp., $U \in \mathbf{R}_D$), $a, b \in \mathbf{I}$ (resp., $a \in \mathbf{I}$, and s is a data value), $v \in TV$.

A **fuzzy description logic knowledge base** $KB = (L, F)$ consists of an ordinary description logic knowledge base L and a finite set of fuzzy atomic concept assertions and fuzzy role assertions F .

Example: A simple fuzzy description logic knowledge base $KB = (L, F)$ is given by L above and

$$F = \{Inexpensive(pc_ibm) \geq 0.6, Inexpensive(pc_hp) \geq 0.9\}.$$

Here, F encodes the different degrees of membership of PCs by IBM and HP to the fuzzy concept *Inexpensive*.

The **ordinary equivalent** to a set of fuzzy concept and role assertions F , denoted F^* , is obtained from F by replacing each $C(a) \geq \nu$ (resp., $R(a, b) \geq \nu$, $U(a, s) \geq \nu$) by $C^\nu(a)$ (resp., $R^\nu(a, b)$, $U^\nu(a, s)$).

The **ν -layer** of L , denoted L^ν , is obtained from L by replacing every $C \in \mathbf{A}$ (resp., $R \in \mathbf{R}_A$, $U \in \mathbf{R}_D$) by C^ν (resp., R^ν , U^ν).

The **ordinary equivalent** to a fuzzy description logic knowledge base $KB = (L, F)$, denoted KB^* , is defined as

$$\bigcup_{\nu \in TV, \nu > 0} L^\nu \cup F^* \cup \{A^\nu \sqsubseteq A^{\nu'} \mid A \in \mathbf{A}, \nu \in TV, \nu \geq 2/n, \nu' = \nu - 1/n\} \cup \\ \{R^\nu \sqsubseteq R^{\nu'} \mid R \in \mathbf{R}_A, \nu \in TV, \nu \geq 2/n, \nu' = \nu - 1/n\} \cup \\ \{U^\nu \sqsubseteq U^{\nu'} \mid U \in \mathbf{R}_D, \nu \in TV, \nu \geq 2/n, \nu' = \nu - 1/n\}.$$

KB is **satisfiable** iff KB^* is satisfiable.

F among $C(a) \geq \nu$, $R(a, b) \geq \nu$, and $U(a, s) \geq \nu$ is a **logical consequence** of KB , denoted $KB \models F$, iff $C^\nu(a)$, $R^\nu(a, b)$, and $U^\nu(a, s)$, respectively, are logical consequences of KB^* .

Finite set of **truth values** $TV = \{\frac{0}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n}{n}\}$ with $n \geq 1$.

Negation strategies $\ominus: TV \rightarrow TV$ such that \ominus is antitonic and satisfies $\ominus 0 = 1$ and $\ominus 1 = 0$.

Example: $\ominus v = 1 - v$.

Conjunction strategies $\otimes: TV \times TV \rightarrow TV$ such that \otimes is commutative, associative, monotonic, and satisfies $v \otimes 1 = v$ and $v \otimes 0 = 0$.

Example: $v_1 \otimes v_2 = \min(v_1, v_2)$ and $v_1 \otimes v_2 = v_1 \cdot v_2$.

A **normal fuzzy rule** r is of form (with atoms a, b_1, \dots, b_m):

$$a \leftarrow_{\otimes_0} b_1 \wedge_{\otimes_1} b_2 \wedge_{\otimes_2} \dots \wedge_{\otimes_{k-1}} b_k \wedge_{\otimes_k} \text{not}_{\ominus_{k+1}} b_{k+1} \wedge_{\otimes_{k+1}} \dots \wedge_{\otimes_{m-1}} \text{not}_{\ominus_m} b_m \geq v, \quad (1)$$

A **normal fuzzy program** P is a finite set of normal fuzzy rules.

A **dl-query** $Q(\mathbf{t})$ is of one of the following forms:

- a concept inclusion axiom F or its negation $\neg F$;
- $C(t)$ or $\neg C(t)$, with a concept C and a term t ;
- $R(t_1, t_2)$ or $\neg R(t_1, t_2)$, with a role R and terms t_1, t_2 .

A **fuzzy dl-rule** r is of form (1), where any $b \in B(r)$ may be a dl-atom, which is of form $DL[S_1 op_1 p_1, \dots, S_m op_m p_m; Q](\mathbf{t})$.

A **fuzzy dl-program** $KB = (L, P)$ consists of a description logic knowledge base L and a finite set of fuzzy dl-rules P .

$$(1) pc(pc_1) \geq 1; \quad pc(pc_2) \geq 1; \quad pc(pc_3) \geq 1;$$

$$(2) brand_new(pc_1) \geq 1; \quad brand_new(pc_2) \geq 1;$$

$$(3) offer(X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} DL[PC \uplus pc; Electronics](X) \wedge_{\otimes} \\ not_{\ominus} brand_new(X) \geq 1;$$

$$(4) buy(C, X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} needs(C, X) \wedge_{\otimes} offer(X) \geq 0.7;$$

$$(5) buy(C, X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} needs(C, X) \wedge_{\otimes} DL[Inexpensive](X) \geq 0.3.$$

(4) A customer who needs a product on offer buys this product with degree of truth of at least 0.7.

(5) A customer who needs an inexpensive product buys this product with degree of truth of at least 0.3.

\ominus and \otimes are given by $\ominus v = 1 - v$ and $v_1 \otimes v_2 = \min(v_1, v_2)$.

An **interpretation** I (relative to P) is a mapping $I: HB_P \rightarrow TV$.

The truth value of $a = DL[S_1 \uplus p_1, \dots, S_m \uplus p_m; Q](\mathbf{c})$ under L , denoted $I_L(a)$, is defined as the maximal truth value $v \in TV$ such that $L \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i(I) \models Q(\mathbf{c}) \geq v$, where

$$A_i(I) = \{S_i(\mathbf{e}) \geq I(p_i(\mathbf{e})) \mid I(p_i(\mathbf{e})) > 0, p_i(\mathbf{e}) \in HB_P\}.$$

I is a **model** of a ground fuzzy dl-rule r of the form (1) under L , denoted $I \models_L r$, iff

$$I_L(a) \geq v \otimes_0 I_L(b_1) \otimes_1 I_L(b_2) \otimes_2 \cdots \otimes_{k-1} I_L(b_k) \otimes_k \\ \ominus_{k+1} I_L(b_{k+1}) \otimes_{k+1} \cdots \otimes_{m-1} \ominus_m I_L(b_m),$$

I is a **model** of a fuzzy dl-program $KB = (L, P)$, denoted $I \models KB$, iff $I \models_L r$ for all $r \in \text{ground}(P)$.

A fuzzy dl-program $KB = (L, P)$ is **positive** iff P is “not”-free.

Theorem: Positive fuzzy dl-programs KB are satisfiable and have a unique least model, denoted M_{KB} , as a natural semantics.

Example: Consider the fuzzy dl-program KB consisting of the above fuzzy description logic knowledge base and the fuzzy dl-rules

$$(0) \text{ needs}(\text{john}, \text{pc_ibm}) \geq 1;$$

$$(1) \text{ pc}(\text{pc_1}) \geq 1; \text{ pc}(\text{pc_2}) \geq 1; \text{ pc}(\text{pc_3}) \geq 1;$$

$$(2) \text{ brand_new}(\text{pc_1}) \geq 1; \text{ brand_new}(\text{pc_2}) \geq 1;$$

$$(4) \text{ buy}(C, X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} \text{ needs}(C, X) \wedge_{\otimes} \text{ offer}(X) \geq 0.7;$$

$$(5) \text{ buy}(C, X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} \text{ needs}(C, X) \wedge_{\otimes} \text{ DL}[\text{Inexpensive}](X) \geq 0.3.$$

Then, KB is positive, and $M_{KB}(\text{buy}(\text{john}, \text{pc_ibm})) = 0.3$.

Stratified fuzzy dl-programs are composed of hierarchic layers of positive fuzzy dl-programs linked via default negation:

A **stratification of $KB = (L, P)$ with respect to DL_P** is a mapping $\lambda: HB_P \cup DL_P \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ such that

- $\lambda(H(r)) \geq \lambda(a)$ (resp., $\lambda(H(r)) > \lambda(a)$) for each $r \in \text{ground}(P)$ and $a \in B^+(r)$ (resp., $a \in B^-(r)$), and
- $\lambda(a) \geq \lambda(a')$ for each input atom a' of each $a \in DL_P$,

where $k \geq 0$ is the **length** of λ . A fuzzy dl-program $KB = (L, P)$ is **stratified** iff it has a stratification λ of some length $k \geq 0$.

Theorem: Every stratified fuzzy dl-program KB is satisfiable and has a canonical minimal model via a finite number of iterative least models (which does not depend on the stratification of KB).

Example: Consider the fuzzy dl-program KB consisting of the above fuzzy description logic knowledge base and the fuzzy dl-rules

$$(0) \text{ needs}(\text{john}, \text{pc_ibm}) \geq 1;$$

$$(1) \text{ pc}(\text{pc_1}) \geq 1; \text{ pc}(\text{pc_2}) \geq 1; \text{ pc}(\text{pc_3}) \geq 1;$$

$$(2) \text{ brand_new}(\text{pc_1}) \geq 1; \text{ brand_new}(\text{pc_2}) \geq 1;$$

$$(3) \text{ offer}(X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} \text{DL}[\text{PC} \uplus \text{pc}; \text{Electronics}](X) \wedge_{\otimes} \text{not}_{\ominus} \text{brand_new}(X) \geq 1;$$

$$(4) \text{ buy}(C, X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} \text{needs}(C, X) \wedge_{\otimes} \text{offer}(X) \geq 0.7;$$

$$(5) \text{ buy}(C, X) \leftarrow_{\otimes} \text{needs}(C, X) \wedge_{\otimes} \text{DL}[\text{Inexpensive}](X) \geq 0.3.$$

Then, KB is stratified, and it holds in particular

$$M_{KB}(\text{offer}(\text{pc_ibm})) = 1 \text{ and } M_{KB}(\text{buy}(\text{john}, \text{pc_ibm})) = 0.7.$$

Let $KB = (L, P)$ be a fuzzy dl-program. The **fuzzy dl-transform** of P relative to L and an interpretation $I \subseteq \mathbf{HB}_P$, denoted P'_L , is the set of all fuzzy dl-rules obtained from $\mathit{ground}(P)$ by replacing all default-negated atoms $\mathit{not}_{\ominus_j} a$ by the truth value $\ominus_j I_L(a)$.

An **answer set** of KB is an interpretation $I \subseteq \mathbf{HB}_P$ such that I is the least model of (L, P'_L) .

Theorem: Let KB be a fuzzy dl-program, and let M be an answer set of KB . Then, M is a minimal model of KB .

Theorem: Let KB be a positive (resp., stratified) fuzzy dl-program. Then, M_{KB} is its only answer set.

For a fuzzy dl-program $KB = (L, P)$, define the operator T_{KB} as follows. For every $I \subseteq \mathbf{HB}_P$ and $a \in \mathbf{HB}_P$, let $T_{KB}(I)(a)$ be defined as the maximum of v subject to $r \in \text{ground}(P)$, $H(r) = a$, and v being the truth value of r 's body under I and L . Note that if there is no such rule r , then $T_{KB}(I)(a) = 0$.

Lemma: Let $KB = (L, P)$ be a positive fuzzy dl-program. Then, the operator T_{KB} is monotonic.

Theorem: Let $KB = (L, P)$ be a positive fuzzy dl-program. Then, $\text{lfp}(T_{KB}) = M_{KB}$. Furthermore,

$$\text{lfp}(T_{KB}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n T_{KB}^i(\emptyset) = T_{KB}^n(\emptyset), \text{ for some } n \geq 0.$$

M_{KB} of a stratified fuzzy dl-program KB can be characterized by a sequence of fixpoint iterations along a stratification:

Let $\hat{T}_{KB}^i(I) = T_{KB}^i(I) \cup I$, for all $i \geq 0$.

Theorem: Let $KB = (L, P)$ be a fuzzy dl-program with stratification λ of length $k \geq 0$. Let $M_i \subseteq \mathbf{HB}_P$, $i \in \{-1, 0, \dots, k\}$, be defined by $M_{-1} = \emptyset$, and $M_i = \hat{T}_{KB_i}^{n_i}(M_{i-1})$ for every $i \geq 0$, where n_i such that $\hat{T}_{KB_i}^{n_i}(M_{i-1}) = \hat{T}_{KB_i}^{n_i+1}(M_{i-1})$. Then, $M_k = M_{KB}$.

Summary:

- Simple fuzzy extensions of *SHIF(D)* and *SHOIN(D)*.
- Unique least model and iterative least model semantics of positive resp. stratified fuzzy dl-programs.
- Answer set semantics of general fuzzy dl-programs. Coincides with the canonical semantics in the positive and stratified case.
- Fixpoint and iterative fixpoint characterization of the canonical semantics of positive resp. stratified fuzzy dl-programs.

Outlook:

- Computational complexity, efficient algorithms (especially for general fuzzy dl-programs), and implementation.
- Integration of more expressive fuzzy description logics.